





PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q89135

Kunio YASUNAGA, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/551,964

Group Art Unit: 1644

Confirmation No.: 3948

Examiner: NOT YET ASSIGNED

Filed: October 5, 2005

For: METHOD OF SCREENING ANTIOBESITY AGENTS AND ANIMAL MODEL OF

OBESITY

LETTER

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Further to the Information Disclosure Statement filed March 8, 2006, for the convenience of the Examiner, Applicant is now able to provide, and attaches hereto, a copy of an English translation of the International Preliminary Report on Patentability (IPRP).

No additional cited art documents are submitted or listed herewith, since the two (2) documents cited in the IPRP were previously cited and listed in the Information Disclosure Statement filed March 8, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: July 31, 2006

seph(). Ruch, Jr.

Registration No. 26,577

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Translation **PCT**

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

			<u></u>				
Applicant's or agent's file reference YK0417PCT711	FOR FURTHER A	ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No. International filing of		ate (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/JP2004/007692 03.06.200			06.06.2003				
			00.00.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC							
Applicant	** 						
ASTELLAS PHARMA INC.							
1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2. This REPORT consists of a	total of 7	sheets, includi	ng this cover sheet.				
 This report is also accompa 	nied by ANNEXES, comprising	:					
a. (sent to the appl	icant and to the International B	ureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:				
I I	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative						
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental						
b. (sent to the Inter	national Bureau only) a total of	(indicate type and numb	er of electronic carrier(s))				
1 disk			, containing a sequence listing and/or tables				
related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
4. This report contains indicate	ons relating to the following ite	ns:					
Box No. I Ba	asis of the report						
Box No. II Pr	iority						
Box No. III N	on-establishment of opinion witl	regard to novelty, inver	ntive step and industrial applicability				
Box No. IV La	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention						
2011.0.1	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Box No. VI C	Box No. VI Certain documents cited						
Box No. VII C	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application						
Box No. VIII C	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report					
Name and mailing address of the IPE	A/JP	Authorized officer					
		T	•				

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/007692

Box	k No. I	Basis of the report	······································	
1.		h regard to the language, this report is based on the intercated under this item.	national application in the language in which	ch it was filed, unless otherwise
		This report is based on translations from the original lawhich is the language of a translation furnished for the		
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))		š
		publication of the international application (Rule		
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2		
2.	rece	h regard to the elements of the international application iving Office in response to an invitation under Article report): the international application as originally filed/furnish	14 are referred to in this report as "origin	
		the description:		
		pages		as originally filed/furnished
		pages*	received by this Authority on	
		pages*	received by this Authority on	
		the claims:		
		nos.		as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*		th any statement) under Article 19
		nos.*		,,
			received by this Authority on	
	\Box		received by this Authority on	
	ш	the drawings:		
		sheets		as originally filed/furnished
		sheets*		
		sheets*	received by this Authority on	······································
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Sup	plemental Box Relating to Sequence Listin	g.
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description, pages	,	
		the claims, nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the a they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure		
		the description, pages		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
*	If ite	em 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked		Print Control of the

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/007692

Box No.	IV Lack of unity of invention
1.	In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:
	restricted the claims.
	paid additional fees.
	paid additional fees under protest.
	neither restricted the claims nor paid additional fees.
2.	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.
3. Thi	is Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:
	complied with.
\boxtimes	not complied with for the following reasons:
	The group of inventions that is set forth in
	claims 1 to 6 (invention group A) includes inventions
	that are related to the angiopoietin-related growth
	factor. Meanwhile, the invention that is set forth in
	claim 7 (invention B) is related to non-human knockout
	animals in which the gene that codes the angiopoietin-
	related growth factor has been deleted, and the
	invention that is set forth in claim 8 (invention C)
	is related to non-human transgenic mice that are
	capable of expressing the angiopoietin-related growth
	factor.
	Invention Group A and Invention B
	It is apparent that there is no technical
	relationship involving one or more of the same or
	corresponding special technical features among
	invention group A and invention B.
	[Refer to the Supplemental Box]
4. Co	nsequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
	all parts.
\boxtimes	the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-6

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/007692

Box			ticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; poorting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-6	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	5, 6	YES
		Claims	1-4	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-6	YES
		Claims		NO
1				

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Document 1: JP 2000-300263 A (Helix Research Institute), 31 October 2000, entire text (Family: none)

Document 2: WO 99/15653 A1 (GENENTECH, INC.), 01 April 1999, entire text & EP 10155585 A2 & JP 2001-517437 A

Claims 1 to 4

The inventions set forth in claims 1 to 4 do not involve an inventive step in the light of the inventions that are disclosed in documents 1 and 2 cited in the international search report.

Documents 1 and 2 can be considered to disclose the gene that codes the angiopoietin-related growth factor in humans along with the base sequence thereof. In addition, it was common technical knowledge prior to the priority date of the present application that in cases when a given gene is well known, it is possible to acquire the promoter of said gene by means of genetic engineering techniques.

Therefore, it would have been easy for a person skilled in the art to conceive of attempting to acquire the promoter of the gene that codes the human angiopoietin-related growth factor by means of a genetic

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/007692

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

engineering technique which employs probes and/or primers that have been created based on the base sequences that are disclosed in documents 1 and 2. At that time, a person skilled in the art could have searched upstream from the gene that codes the human angiopoietin-related growth factor in order to acquire DNA fragments that exhibit a high promoter activity, as appropriate.

In addition, a person skilled in the art could produce a recombinant vector comprising a promoter that has been obtained in this manner, and could produce a transformant comprising said recombinant vector, as appropriate.

Furthermore, there cannot be considered to be any especially significant effects that result from employing the configurations of the inventions that are set forth in claims 1 to 4 of the present application.

Claims 5 and 6

The inventions set forth in claims 5 and 6 are not disclosed in any of the documents that are cited in the international search report, and would not have been obvious to a person skilled in the art.

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/007692

Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing					
Continuation of Box No. I, item 2:					
 With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this report was established on the basis of: 					
a. type of material a sequence listing					
table(s) related to the sequence listing					
b. format of material					
in written format					
in computer readable form					
c. time of filing/furnishing					
contained in the international application as filed					
filed together with the international application in computer readable form					
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search and/or examination					
received by this Authority as an amendment* on					
 In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. 					
3. Additional comments:					
·					
·					
* If item 4 in Box No. I applies, the listing and/or table(s) related thereto, which form part of the basis of the report, may be marked "superseded."					

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/007692

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:

Box IV

Invention Group A and Invention C

It is apparent that there is no technical relationship involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features among invention group A and invention C.

Invention B and Invention C

Invention B and invention C share the common feature of being inventions which are related to the "angiopoietin-related growth factor that is set forth in the present application." However, the angiopoietin-related growth factor that is set forth in the present application was well known prior to the priority date of the present application (if necessary, refer to the documents JP 2000-300263 A, WO 99/15653 A1 and the like); therefore, there cannot be considered to be a technical relationship involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features among invention B and invention C.

As a result, the inventions that are set forth in claims 1 to 8 do not conform to the requirement of unity of invention.

However, the inventions that are set forth in claims 1 to 6 can be considered to conform to the requirement of unity of invention.